

NAPsaC Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

April 22, 2018

5:00 – 6:30 pm PDT

Officers:

President – Lee Jaffe (APsaA)

Vice-President – Drew Tillotson (PINC)

Secretary – Caron Harrang (NPSI)

Treasurer – Sandra Borden (APsaA)

Directors:

Lee Jaffe and Harriet Wolfe (APsaA)

Louis Brunet (Canadian Psychoanalytic Society)

Judith Felton and Andrea Greenman (Contemporary Freudian Society)

Randi Wirth and Phyllis Sloate (interim) (Institute for Psychoanalytic Training and Research)

Kenichiro Okano (Japanese Psychoanalytic Society)

Tak Yoo Hong (Korean Psychoanalytic Society Study Group/non-voting)

Beth Kalish and Terry McBride (Los Angeles Institute and Society for Psychoanalytic Study)

Caron Harrang and Maxine Nelson (Northwestern Psychoanalytic Society and Institute)

Andrea Kahn and Leigh Tobias (Psychoanalytic Center of California)

Johanna Boyce (Vermont Study Group/non-voting)

Maureen Murphy and Drew Tillotson (Psychoanalytic Institute of Northern California)

Yuhua Clare Lin (Taiwan Study Group/non-voting)

Guest: Robin Deutsch (APsaA)

Absent: Beth Kalish (LAISPS); Kenichiro Okano (Japanese Psychoanalytic Society); Yu-hua Clare Lin (Taiwan Study Group)

The meeting held on Zoom was called to order on Sunday, April 22, 2018 at 5:00 pm.

I. Introduction of Robin Deutsch to the Board – Lee Jaffe reporting.

Lee Jaffe introduced Robin Deutsch, PhD, FIPA, appointed by APsaA to complete Lee Jaffe's first and second terms as President of NAPsaC. Robin is a graduate of the San Francisco Center for Psychoanalysis (SFPC) and Past President. She is a Personal Analyst (IPA Training Analyst) at the Psychoanalytic Institute of Northern California (PINC). Robin began attending NAPsaC ExCom meetings in March and will assume the Presidency on July 1, 2018.

When Robin becomes President, Lee will become the primary Director from APsaA taking over for Harriet Wolfe who leaves the Board after this meeting.

II. Intra-Regional Task Force – Louis Brunet (CPS) reporting.

Members: Maureen Murphy (Chair), Louis Brunet, Maxine Nelson, Harriet Wolfe.

The TF mandate is to understand our unique regional IPA culture and to use this understanding to promote cooperation in the service of psychoanalysis in the region, and a voice in the IPA. In this first of two reports Louis Brunet described the history and current culture of the Canadian Psychoanalytic Society. The full report is shown below (Appendix A).

Other TF activities such as coordinating shared activities (Websites; Program Committees; CIPS-NAPsaC Clinical Conference) and Board reorganization were postponed until the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

III. February 17, 2017 Minutes – Caron Harrang reporting.

Minutes for the annual face-to-face meeting in New York City as amended were approved by unanimous vote of the Board.

IV. Treasurer’s Report – Sandra Borden reporting.

The balance in our account as of today is \$20,127.20. Taxes will be filed by May 15, 2018. Revenue in 2018, including carryover from 2017 is \$30,859.20. Approximately \$600 is still outstanding from one Society that hasn't paid dues yet. Expected revenue for 2018 is \$31,460.

Expenses to date for 2018 is \$11,952. The following are expected expenses (approximate) for the remainder of this year:

1) Totera website update (\$1,840) and monthly maintenance	2,840
2) Accounting services	600
3) Registered agent annual fee	140
4) Travel reimbursement (Robin Deutsch)	830
5) Constant Contact (375), MyCityScene Calendar (100) and Domain Hosting (170)	650
6) eJournal (2018)	8,500
Subtotal:	14,560
Total disbursements for 2018:	26,512

Our yearend balance will be approximately \$4,948.

V. Current Business

1. Possible Dues Increase Report – All Directors reporting.

Directors agreed in February to poll their local organization Boards of Directors to determine receptivity to possible dues increase from \$5 to \$10 annually before making a motion. The rationale for this increase is that we cannot maintain, much less increase, our participation at administrative and scientific meetings nationally and internationally or offer improved services to our members (e.g. FindAnAnalyst tool on the NAPsaC website) without additional financial resources. Currently, our annual income from dues is approximately \$12,655.00. The following is a list of responses from dues paying member organizations:

Harriet Wolfe (APsaA) – Regrettably due to budget constraints APsaA is not in a position at this time to consider even a modest dues increase.

Louis Brunet (Canadian Psychoanalytic Society) – Consideration of a dues increase is postponed until NAPsaC establishes clear written protocols for co-sponsoring educational events (e.g. NAPsaC Clinical Workshop as part of CPS Annual Conference).

Judith Felton (Contemporary Freudian Society) – This subject has not yet been discussed by the CPS Board. Judith plans to raise the issue and report back by the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

Randi Wirth (Institute for Psychoanalytic Training and Research) – This issue did not make it onto the agenda at IPTAR’s most recent board meeting. Randi expects to be able to report back at the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

Kenichiro Okano (Japanese Psychoanalytic Society) – Absent.

Terry McBride (Los Angeles Institute and Society for Psychoanalytic Study) – This issue has not yet been discussed by the LAISPS Board. Terry will bring it up and report back at the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board. NAPsaC dues are paid from the General Fund.

Caron Harrang (Northwestern Psychoanalytic Society and Institute) – The NPSI Board of Directors approves development of a dues increase proposal from \$5 to \$10. Members are billed individually.

Leigh Tobias (Psychoanalytic Center of California) – The PCC Board of Directors approves development of a dues increase proposal from \$5 to \$10. Members are billed individually.

Maureen Murphy (Psychoanalytic Institute of Northern California) – The PINC Board approves the development of a dues increase proposal from \$5 to \$10. Dues are paid from a General Fund.

Study Groups (Korea, Taiwan, Vermont) do not pay dues nor vote on motions. Nevertheless, Tak Yoo Hong indicated that the Korean Study Group favors the idea of a dues increase.

2. Program Committee – Drew Tillotson reporting.

Members: Maureen Murphy, Drew Tillotson (Chair), Leigh Tobias.

a. The committee continues efforts to recruit liaison members from the program committees in other organizations (e.g. CIPS, CPS, APsaA).

b. Drew discussed with Randi Wirth (Chair, Organizing Committee) terms for co-sponsoring the CIPS/NAPsaC Clinical Conference tentatively scheduled for May 3-4, 2019 in New York City. Randi and Terry McBride (President, CIPS) stressed that co-sponsorship is not contingent on NAPsaC’s financial contribution and more about the desire to collaborate between organizations. IPA Vice-President Sergio Nick has been invited to attend on behalf of the IPA. A conference theme is in development. CAPSA grant funds may be sought once it is confirmed that an IPA representative will attend.

Action: Drew will teleconference with Randi and report to NAPsaC ExCom in May on the terms of a proposed co-sponsorship agreement. This proposal will then be brought to Directors at the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

3. NAPsaC Website Update – Drew Tillotson reporting.

Drew Tillotson went back to Totera and learned that the proposal submitted in late 2017 has increased by \$400. Webmaster Donna Winter understands our budget concerns and is proposing only what is essential to improve functionality (e.g. dropdown menus) and security. She asked that one person represent NAPsaC with Totera in updating the website to maximize efficiency

and minimize cost. A motion was made to approve the cost increase and to allow Drew to serve as point person for the update. The motion was approved unanimously.

Action: Drew will work with Donna to oversee the website update and report back at the next Board meeting.

4. Report on EPF– Drew Tillotson reporting.

Drew attended EPF on behalf of President Lee Jaffe representing NAPsaC. See Appendix B for the full report.

VI. New Business

1. NAPsaC Representatives to IPA Nominating Committee. Lee Jaffe reporting.

The authority to name representatives to Nominating Committee shall be apportioned according to the following formula: APsaA shall name two (2) representatives; CPS shall name one (1) representative; and the six independent societies shall name one (2) representatives to the NA Nominating Committee according to a rotation system, determined by alphabetical order (currently Cycle One):

Cycle One: IPTAR and CFS shall each name one representative.

Cycle Two: NPSI and LAISPS shall each name one representative.

Cycle Three: PINC and PCC shall each name one representative.

Action: Directors from APsaA, CPS, IPTAR or CFS (Cycle One) will email Lee Jaffe (lsjaffe@gmail.com) with the names of those who will serve on the IPA Nominating Committee within the next few days. Lee is required to submit names to the IPA by no later than April 30, 2018.

2. Membership. Louis Brunet and Randi Wirth reporting.

Louis Brunet suggested the Board review our membership policy with respect groups not yet part of the IPA. Does it make sense to invite IPA Study Groups and Provisional Societies to join NAPsaC before achieving component Society status? Due to time constraints this item was postponed until the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

3. Policies and Procedures Task Force. Lee Jaffe reporting.

Volunteers are needed for a Task Force to review and make recommendations for updating the NAPsaC Policies and Procedures Handbook, including when the two-tier voting procedure is required. Due to time constraints this item was postponed until the next meeting of the NAPsaC Board.

4. NAPsaC Board Meeting schedule for the remainder of 2018 and for the 2019 Face-to-Face Board Meeting in New York.

Due to time constraints this item was postponed and will be established via Doodle polling following this meeting. The next annual face-to-face meeting will be Saturday, February 9, 2019.

VI. The next Board meeting via Zoom is scheduled for July 8, 2018 at 5pm Pacific Time/ 8PM Eastern Time.

Appendix A

Psychoanalysis in Canada Reported by Louis Brunet Canadian Psychoanalytic Society

About Canadian History

In 1945, Hugh MacLennan, a Canadian novelist wrote a book called “Two solitudes.” This title came to express something emblematic about my country; that is, the relations between English and French Canadians. The country, from a political point of view, has two cultures, Anglophile and Francophile (and I am leaving aside the third group or the aboriginal people). The English speaking and French speaking groups developed their own culture, their own legal system, their own medical and education systems, in parallel with surprisingly small true knowledge of the other group. As a result, the cultures are very different and outside influences are different.

So, psychoanalysis in Canada follows these two solitudes metaphor, even though many colleagues try (and I am one of them) to promote conversations, exchanges between the two communities through exchanges between the seven branches of the CPS through our annual congress and our journal.

There is another interesting feature of our IPA Society, which is the fact that “the stranger”, “the other”, the alien or the “enemy” is not only outside our society, *it is also inside*. And in times of difficulty or crisis it becomes obvious, both in the general Canadian society and in the psychoanalytic society, that with the help of projection our alien may be inside our own society.

Psychoanalytic History

In 1957, the International Psychoanalytical Association (IPA) accepted the Canadian Psychoanalytic Society (CPS) as a constituent society with both English and French as its languages. However, training, talks, congresses and presentations took place in English until the late 1960s. In 1969, the CPS created a French-language branch, Société Psychanalytique de Montréal.

Funny trivia: In 1908, Ernest Jones, moved from England to become a neuropathologist at the Toronto Lunatic Asylum. But he did not contribute in organizing psychoanalysis in Canada. Rather, IPA psychoanalysis began in Montreal.

The first psychoanalytic group in Canada was the result of a typically Canadian relationship. An anti-Franco refugee from Spain, Miguel Prados, who had a position at the Montreal Neurological Institute, formed an alliance with a French-Canadian priest, Noël Mailloux, who taught at the Université de Montréal. In 1946, they became the Montreal Psychoanalytic Club. Thereafter, analysts who had trained in London, Paris and Boston immigrated to Montreal.

Creation of the Canadian Psychoanalytic Society (CPS)
(this section is based on Roger Dufresne and Jacques Vigneault’ work)

With five members who were also members of the IPA, the study group felt that it was ready to apply for membership in the IPA. IPA bylaws at that time required that they be recommended by a recognized IPA Society.

Perhaps partly due to the lack of a recommendation and for other more political reasons, the 1951 Congress in Amsterdam referred the application to APsaA. Because one of the analysts in the study group was not a physician and the Canadians were planning to train other so-called lay analysts, APsaA refused to accept the application.

In response to this impasse, the study group turned to the British Psychoanalytic Society (BPS). The British admitted them to membership without delay and in 1952, the group now calling itself the Canadian Society of Psychoanalysts (CSP), became a part of the BPS. APsaA immediately protested. They insisted that a 1936 agreement with the IPA gave them exclusive control over psychoanalysis in all of North America.

The CPS was incorporated under federal law on April 3, 1957 with sponsorship from the BPS. CPS was then recognized as an independent component society of the IPA in 1957. With early members trained in London, Paris, Vienna, New York, and Boston, the CPS embraced all the major orientations in psychoanalytic theory. The CPS continues to embrace all major points of view, probably more so than most other IPA component societies.

In 1967, the CPS changed its bylaws and became a federation of separate branch societies. Both the CPS members in Toronto and the French-speaking members in Montreal wanted a society of their own. For cultural and geographic reasons, the new branches were granted considerable autonomy.

In 1969, separate French-speaking and English-speaking branches were created in Montreal (Société psychanalytique de Montréal and CPS-Quebec English), then Toronto Psychoanalytic Society [TPS]). Transformation of the CPS into a confederation was complete, and direct membership ceased.

The CPS is now comprised of seven branches:

Vancouver

London

Toronto

Ottawa

Montreal (one in French, one in English)

Quebec City

In total the CPS has around 400 members.

CPS's Institutes (5)

All English-speaking institutes follow the Eitingon model and the Montreal French speaking institute follows the French model. So that is an important specificity of the Canadian society, not only do we have two languages but also two training models.

Interestingly, there is portability within the Canadian Psychoanalytic Society. One can train in Montreal English through the Eitingon model and become member of the Société Psychanalytique de Montréal, or the reverse.

So, in a way the decision on a model may be made by a branch institute but some think it is a matter that should be discussed at the national level. But as you know the different models are not only about the number of sessions but is about a philosophy of training, about the role of the personal analyst, who can analyse the candidates in training, etc.

In the French model, analysis is conducted at a minimum of three sessions per week and the candidate can be in analysis with any analyst having more than five years of clinical experience, not only a training analyst. So there is no need to for individuals to change analysts when they enter training. Additionally, the analyst has nothing to say neither to accept an analysand for training, neither to evaluate his progression in training neither for graduation. Thus, the candidate's personal analysis is completely protected and separated from the training process and judgment. Due to differences between models, there can be criticism from one side or the other and pressure to adopt one model. So far, the diversity is being maintained.

Strength in Diversity

Since 1954 the CPS has published a bilingual Journal. You will not find many bilingual psychoanalytic journals and we are working hard to have French and English papers in each issue published three times yearly. We believe it is a way to build bridges and to help English speaking analysts to be influenced by French speaking analysts and vice versa. To protect this diversity, we try to have an editor and co-editor from each language.

Our annual congress is also bilingual. The congress offers presentations in both French and English. In the last few years, instead of paying for expensive translation services we try to have a bilingual colleague able to translate or ask the presenter to give his or her paper to a colleague to translate in advance. Then we use a projector to show the translated text at the same time as the author presents in his own language.

In terms of governance, as previously mentioned, we have seven branches, each with their own President, Executive Committee and governance.

We have a National Council comprised of three representatives from each branch (usually the President and two members of the Executive Committee), plus chairs of the national committees (Ethics, Journal, Annual Congress, etc.) and at large members. The Council meets twice yearly. The Presidency of the Council rotates between the seven branches. CPS is also a member society of NAPsaC.

Appendix B

Report to NAPsaC Board European Psychoanalytic Federation Meetings Reported by Drew Tillotson, PhD, FIPA

I represented NAPsaC, substituting for President Lee Jaffe, at the Annual EPF Conference in Warsaw, Poland the week of March 19, 2018.

I attended two functions and two meetings as NAPsaC Vice-President:

1) Tuesday evening, March 20th, all the regional (EPF, FEPAL, NAPsaC and APsaA) Presidents, Vice Presidents or Society Representatives as well as the current IPA Officers were invited to an opening cocktail reception. This was very informal, and I was able to meet and converse with several regional leaders. Through informal conversations, it was a nice opportunity to answer questions about NAPsaC as well as learn a bit about other regional leadership challenges and successes. It was a very enjoyable event. Of Note, people still are somewhat confused about NAPsaC; while some people know the differences clearly between APsaA and NAPsaC, others did not.

2) Wednesday evening, March 21st, all regional Presidents/and or VP's or society reps were invited to an elegant dinner at the Amber Room Restaurant in the Sobanski Palace, in Warsaw. I was seated at the President's table and had the good fortune to sit next to IPA VP Sergio Nick. The conversation was lively with him, and I was able to talk some with him about NAPsaC and the unique concerns we have. I felt it was fruitful to talk casually with him, because he asked questions about North America and I was able to give more on-the-ground answers about where NAPsaC is right now, and our relationship to the IPA, based on my understanding as a NAPsaC Officer.

3) On Thursday, March 22, the regional leaders of EPF, FEPAL, NAPsaC and APsaA met in morning and afternoon meetings. The morning was devoted to the regional organizations discussing methods to better communicate and collaborate inter-regionally. (Note: This was a theme spoken about in the same meeting of regional leaders during the Buenos Aires Congress last summer. At that meeting, it was noted that President Bolognini and VP Alexandra Billingham made it a priority of their vision to have more collaboration inter-regionally for clinical and scientific meetings. At that same meeting President Ungar and VP Nick stated they wanted to continue this vision as part of their mission as leaders.) The problem of attending each region's conference as representatives of our various regions was taken up. Several people voiced the realities of competing meetings, meaning trying to attend one's own regional meetings when dates conflict for other regional conferences. EPF President Jorge Canestri proposed creating an international calendar that would list each region's conferences and administrative meetings.

At this point in the morning meeting, IPA President Virginia Ungar and Vice President Sergio joined us. A large portion of this interchange was spent discussing the European response to changes in the Eitingon model. The topic of David Tuckett's (British Psychoanalytical Society) proposal for societies objecting to the change forming a separate regional organization was discussed. This was turned down by the IPA, and Virginia discussed some of the nuances of this

tension. A positive result of the introduction of such an initiative from the European voices that disagree with the decision for 3-5x week, was that the IPA has put together a task force for reviewing the three models and evaluating the change to Eitingon. This task force (as well as the IPA officers) are in conversation currently with David Tuckett and others on his petition. Virginia – at this meeting – had no update of how that was going. Virginia did make the point to emphasize that the spirit of the Task Force is not an enforcing one re: the three models. The spirit of the task force is more to be able to know “exactly what societies and institutes are actually doing in their institutes re the model of training used.

The topic of rumors floating around the IPA came up. A rumor was expressed that APsaA is “corrupting” Eitingon by accepting the William Alanson White Institute. Also, that FEPAL is “corrupting” Eitingon by successfully getting the standard changed to 3-5x/week from 4-5x/week. This led a lively exchange about how these rumors get going, someone has to be the bad object, etc. Another rumor expressed was squashed by Harriet Wolfe: that APsaA was involved somehow in a proposed reduction of sessions to two times/week in North America.

4) The afternoon meeting was devoted to the e-Journal. I will include here the minutes from that portion of meetings (see below) as recorded by Martina Burdet, EPF General Secretary.

Respectfully submitted,
Drew Tillotson, PhD, FIPA
Vice-President, NAPsaC

Thursday March 22, 2018 **e-Journal Meeting Minutes from EPF Meetings:**

01. Clarification of the Treasurer’s functions and its relations with the Board.

- Patricia Singer, Treasurer, was available to attend the Meeting by Skype but for some technical reasons, the Skype connection was unsuccessful.
- The Treasurer will have to attend personally some of the eJournal Board Meetings. (Once or twice a year). The eJournal Board of Directors agreed that one of the two Treasurers will be present at the FEPAL Congress next September in Lima where the date of the next Meeting will be decided with the Personal attendance of the Treasurer.
- The eJournal Board accepted the details regarding the technical support of the Budget voted in New York (Minutes New York eJournal Board Meeting 16-2-2018) sent by the two eJournal Treasurer by email. You can find this additional information below (Point 03 of the Minutes of the Second part of the Meeting with the Editors).
- regarding the cost of the travel(s) to Lima, the Treasurer has to be in touch with IPA who for the moment is still in charge of the finances.

02. Role of the “link” of the eJournal Board of Presidents.

For the moment the eJournal needs a person to assume the role of link for different reasons and of different levels.

- A. The Treasurers are new and the opening of the eJournal account in Montevideo (Uruguay) is still not done. The incorporation of the eJournal in Uruguay is nearly finished and will be completed very soon. Meanwhile, it is necessary that somebody

assumes a fluent transfer of information between the Treasurer(s), the IPA (who is still leading the e-Journal finances) and the Regions.

For the moment, the Treasurer is not yet able to work with complete autonomy due to some legal problems that have to be resolved. The e-Journal doesn't have its own bank account yet. Therefore, any financial transfer has to be done by IPA. Previously the Treasurer needs to open the eJournal bank account in Montevideo. The transfer of the accounts regarding the e-Journal from the IPA to the e-Journal account in Montevideo will be completed. On this level the “link” will have the function of “Representative of the e-Journal Board composed of by the Presidents of each Region and IPA” as a “President in charge” with the responsibility of solving the fiscal related items. Martine Burdet was proposed by the Board to take this role – and therefore to be the President of the Board of Directors – with immediate effect and to serve at the Board’s pleasure; this was unanimously agreed.

B. On the level of the Regions, FEPAL’s Board will change next September, APsaA also is in a period of change.

Due to these many changes, and in order to maintain a continuity, the e-Journal Board would like a link and proposed also Martine Burdet for this position. She accepted the task of coordination between the Board, the Treasurer and the Editors till the e-Journal organization is finished. This point and the rotation of the Link of the eJournal will be discussed again during our next Regional Meeting with IPA. For the moment the Board of Directors thinks that the Link will have to stay till the functioning of the eJournal has completely started. This function as continuity will be assumed only as it is considered necessary. The Board will evaluate that question taking the opportunity of the Meeting between the Regions and the IPA.

Second Part eJournal Board and the Chief Editors (Ursula Burkert & Daniel Biebel)

01. Matters arising (not otherwise on the Agenda).

02. Norms of functioning between the e-Journal Board and the General Editors.

The Chief Editors stress the importance for them to meet in person at least twice a year with the Board and at other times by Skype. Ursula gets the impression that the e-Journal is a project still under construction.

Editor’s topics for the eJournal meeting in Warsaw on Thursday 22nd of March (sent by the Editors)

1. *Where we are now?*

In Latin America, the impact of the papers published in the e- Journal is very important, in France it's decreasing but from a general point of view, the number of people visiting the eJournal increasing on a very impressive way.

As a reminder, the aim of the e-Journal is to have attractive papers (not too academic) in order to be close to the people.

2. *Finances*

- additional information: The Treasurer was available to attend the meeting by Skype but for some technical reasons, the Skype connection has been unsuccessful. Nevertheless, the Treasurers sent by email some explanations:
- a) Record the interview: since the beginning till today, the video makers have been friends of ours, ourselves or professionals that did it at a very low cost of USD 60 each issue.
 - b) Translate the conversation into the other languages: depends on the length of the conversation, but taking into account that we are decided to make videos no longer than 15 min., usually it contains 1000 words. The cost of these translation is from USD 100 to USD 150 per each language. Regarding we need translations to four languages, it costs USD 450.
 - c) Set the translations as subtitles: the cost of setting the subtitles is around USD 385 for each issue. The total amount for the videos of each Issue $60+450+385=$ USD 845. If we make four issues in the year the amount is $USD 845 \times 4 =$ USD 3380.

Technical Support:

The Psychoanalysis Today site is currently hosted on the same server as the main IPA IT content management system (called IMIS). The IPA is currently reviewing its IT infrastructure, and when this is done, it will indicate whether there is a chargeable element for the E-Journal. At the moment the costs are absorbed within those for IPA overall and inseparable. The domain name is paid by the IPA and then allocated out to the Psychoanalysis Today budget @ £11.99 (\$16.73) per year. Kentico is the management system that runs behind the website, chosen because of its multi-lingual capacities by Mike and web consultant Ross Austin, who worked together on setting up the site. Psychoanalysis Today pays Kentico a yearly license of \$4498, which renews each July. Next renewal is due 20th July 2018. Visual Antidote is the company that has worked on coding and building the website (chosen by Ross because VA is familiar with Kentico and so it was more cost-effective for them to build the site than for him to learn). Our main contact there is James Harrison. In 2017 they made a number of enhancements to the site (new pages, facility for inputting bios, etc), which were overseen by Mike, and finished just before he left the IPA in November. They had an agreed price for this (including an agreed number of hours, I believe). Ongoing support is charged at \$160 per hour. After Mike left, they provided intensive training in the Kentico/website system to Catherine Hall and two IPA staff members. The required budget for Kentico's input in 2018 partly depends on if there are any further major changes that the Editors require (new pages, extra features/capability). If not, they will still need to provide support to Catherine Hall on technical issues and any other problems with the site. Catherine Hall is a freelancer who provides support to the IPA on various communications/web projects. For Psychoanalysis Today she is responsible for ensuring that articles are editorially consistent (headings, type, layout, etc.); building new article pages on the website, inputting text in the 5 languages, conducting picture research to go with articles; uploading videos to YouTube; inputting bios (when/if provided) or any other new information such as call for contributions, new translators or editors, and liaising with the editors on information that is missing or required. If there are any problems identified by the editors between issues, she liaises with Visual Antidote to fix them.

Each issue would take 3-4 days, and between issues probably 2-3 hours per month, depending on if there are changes/problems etc. She charges £250 (\$348) per day, or £35 (\$49) per hour.

In summary, the technical service consists in:

Kentico License: \$4498 and renews each July. Next renewal 30 July 2018.

Domain registration: £11.99 yearly (last paid Feb 2018), or \$16.73
Visual Antidote web consultancy: was \$17.571 in 2016 when original site was being built, \$11,063 in 2017 when enhancements/updates were being made but should be much less this year if no extra features/changes to top menu/major issues are required. Estimate about 3-4 hours a month @ \$160 per hour = \$480-640 per month / \$5760 - \$7680 per year? Although this support should reduce as we get more familiar with the system and any problems/glitches with the system are ironed out.
Server and hosting costs: As explained above, when IPA has reviewed its IT costs, they will let us know any separate cost for Psychoanalysis Today.
Catherine Hall web coordination/input: 3-4 days per issue @ £250 per day = £750-£1000 per issue, so if 4 issues yearly = £3000-£4000, plus 2-3 hours per month @ £35 = £70-£105 per month = £840- £1260 per year. So, between £3840 and £4260 per year, or \$5359-\$5945.

- contributions of partners:

- payment of translators and others: several invoices, especially the translator's, are not paid. The Chief Editors are worried to lose their translators. Paul Craig explained that the e-Journal Treasurers have just been appointed. Unfortunately, the e-Journal doesn't have yet a bank account and all the payments have to be done through IPA. Daniel Biebel will send the list of unpaid invoices to Paul Craig.

3. Information about publicity on Facebook (what is of interest)

Daniel Biebel asks for support of Societies for writing papers. As the majority of the Presidents don't read or do not distribute their emails, Virginia Ungar proposed to ask to the IPA Communication Manager to find out the way to improve the communication with the members. The different parts agreed to create an attractive announcement which could be really appealing.

4. Next topics:

- Learning from children (end of April)
- Fragmentation and breakdown (end of July)
- "Gender" (end of October)
- Sameness and otherness
- Addiction

5. Change of Chairs

The mandates of Ursula Burkert and Daniel Biebel, as Chief Editors, are supposed to be over next August. They should be replaced by Liljana Pedron and Adrienne Harris who are currently in the editorial team. The eJournal Board stresses that the officers of APsaA, NAPsaC and FEPAL are changing. If the Chief Editors are changing as well, it could be a huge problem especially as we are late and continuity is wanted. The board suggested to keep the two Editors in chief for an additional year together with the two Chief Editors elect. This proposal due to the problems we are facing (Bank account, notary, Treasurers, etc.) will be exposed to the Editorial Board. It will be helpful to convince the editorial team. The Board is delegating Martina to negotiate with the Editorial Board in order to examine the possibility of obtaining a delay of one year. This meeting will take place online on April 15th organized by London.

6. Replacement of Isabelle Lafarge, French speaking Editor: EPF will have to propose a name.
7. Ursula Burkert asked for having the Minutes of the eJournal Meetings since the beginning. IPA will do it.

[end of document]